As numbers are painted individually using airless sprayers and custom made stencils.

On Friday mornings, the field is mowed and swept for the final time. Then Ramsey and the paint crew return to paint. They repaint the lines, stencil-paint the yard markers, hash marks, and numbers, and paint logos in both end zones and the middle of the field. The field hosts a light, 40-minute practice early Friday evenings, so the paint crew returns early Saturday morning to touch-up the field.

continued on page 32
The HTI-300XL hydro seeding system is now available from Hydro Turf Inc. It has a 300 gallon elliptical poly tank with a 16" fill well. Features include a 3" high volume pump with choice of Briggs, Kohler or Honda engines, 15 gallon clean water tank for hose flushing, a 2" backfill kit for easy tank loading and a 100' spray hose and 3 spray gun nozzles. The machine transports easily on a 3/4 ton pickup. Units are available in 150, 300, 500, 750 and 1000 gallon varieties. Lease for $192.00 per month.

HYDRO TURF INC.
1344 Sibley Memorial Hwy.
Mendota, MN 55150
(612) 452-9230 or FAX (612) 647-1870

For All Your Athletic Field & Turf Marking Needs...

FIELD MARKERS: 12 Models Priced from $399, including Self-Propelled & Riders

FIELD STENCILS: Yard & Hash Mark Stencils, Custom Emblem & Mascot, Playground Games & Maps, Lettering & More.

DRY LINE MARKERS: 5 Models Priced from $85 for Any Field Requirement...Pavement Stripers, Too.

Call Toll Free 800-624-6706

FIELD PAINTING AND STRIPING
continued from page 31

"The team is very good about keeping that light practice between the 30-yard line and the end zone," says Raetzman.

Winning Technique

When Ramsey and his crew begin painting on Wednesdays, they are starting with a smooth, cleanly cut field. That's important, says Ramsey, for laying down crisp lines from the start.

The University of Arizona's football field is surrounded by a curb with saw cuts in it every 10 yards. The Wednesday painting ritual begins with the crew running strings across the field, from cut to cut, then striping along the strings. "That's what we do on Wednesdays, stretch strings and stripe," says Ramsey. "From that point you measure where the yard markers, numbers and logos go."

Logos are laid out one week prior to the season opener, and kept outlined in white for the entire season. That way, when the crew returns to paint them on Fridays, the outline for the logo is already in place — finishing is a matter of filling in the color each week.

Numbers and hash marks are created with stencils made from pieces of half-inch plywood, hinged together at the center, which makes them easier to transport and store. The stencil configuration is such that they can lay out 20 yards at a time. To lighten the stencils and make them easier to carry, as well as provide a place for painters to stand while using them, the crew actually cut holes in them. The
stencils were also painted with a primer followed by a high gloss paint, so that field paint can be washed off them quickly and easily. "If the stencil edges get 'dirty,' the edges of your numbers will get fuzzy," Ramsey notes.

The paint crew selects different tools for specific jobs. Airless paint machines are used for all logos, says Ramsey, because they create a particularly sharp line with no overspray. All five of the airless machines are gasoline-powered and can handle up to 200 feet of line.

"We need that much line because we don't bring any paint onto the field to prevent accidental spilling," says Ramsey. "Paint is kept on tarps off the field."

Logos are touched up on Saturdays by hand with paint rollers. Conventional striping machines are used to paint all lines. Ramsey prefers a heavier machine that doesn't "bounce around" on the field. Each of the paint crew's three striping machines is self-propelled and has a seven-gallon paint tank, which Ramsey says is enough to stripe the entire field.

"When you stripe a field, you've got to keep your eye on the edge of your spray, so that you don't go over the strings," he asserts. "The edge of the spray has to be right 'on top' of the strings."

"You have to paint a field from various angles, because you want paint on all sides of the grass blade," he continues. "Otherwise, a logo or line might look green from one angle, colored from another. And you have to paint properly, so that the paint doesn't build up on the grass."

Regular mowing helps remove paint from the grass. In addition to diluting paint, "proper painting" includes selecting a field marking paint made for the job. Ramsey uses a water-based, flat latex paint that tends to "chalky."

"You don't want to kill the grass — that's probably the most important thing — or you'll end up with a dirt field," he says. "There were three things we included in paint specification. One, it can't hurt the grass. Two, it can't hurt the players. And three, it can't come off on their uniforms, even in a rainy game. That's very important to equipment managers."

**Taking Pride**

While field painting and striping can be challenging and time-consuming, they can also be immensely rewarding. A beautifully painted field "fires up" the teams and spectators. If televised, it fairly bursts from the screen. And a field-painting job done well gives everyone who worked on it a feeling of involvement and pride.

"We take a lot of pride in it," says Ramsey. "It makes our guys feel like they're part of the team. You've gone out there and done something that might help your team win — your team might get a first down that they wouldn't have had had the lines been sloppy. When it comes down to it, lines are the most important paint on the field. The rest is just decoration."

Raetzman agrees. "This is a game of inches, and the lines have to be right," he concludes. "You can have the greatest grass field in the world, but what really gives it that look of class is the painting."
By Mike Trigg

The Westmont Park District in suburban Chicago, IL, strives to provide good, safe athletic facilities. Yet in the summer of 1986, a 13-year-old boy was killed while watching a Little League baseball game. He was electrocuted when he touched a metal fence and the conduit on a wooden light pole at the same time.

There was no visible sign that a problem existed. The lighting equipment at all the fields had been checked during relamping. At the time of the accident, not one lamp was flickering, or even dim. The accident didn’t result from carelessness or a lack of concern. The park district staff was not aware of the inherent dangers and problems that can develop often without apparent symptoms.

The accident was the result of the melting of a fixture-mounted, in-line fuse, underrated for excessive current. Because of this melting, live conductors had fused to the fixture casing, electrifying all metal parts attached to the pole, including the conduit. Inadequate ground-birds and rodents. All of these and more can hasten the deterioration of the system.

Professional design, proper construction, and routine maintenance will result in a safe lighting system for all who come in proximity to the high-voltage electrical equipment while watching or playing a game.

**Design For Safety**

Turn to lighting professionals, familiar with electrical codes and equipment options. You need someone who has practical knowledge of outdoor electrical applications to provide a well-designed electrical system.

All aspects of an electrical system must be designed for optimal operation. The design goal is for maximum safety with low maintenance and proper load sizing without over-design. Key design issues include:

- Specifications should be in compliance with local codes and National Electric Code.
- Service entrance and supplemental equipment grounds should be properly installed.
  - Access to electrical components should be limited by placing them in locked enclosures.
  - Electrical components should be of the appropriate size and rating for the function.
  - Properly sized fuses or circuit breakers should be located at the service entrance and at each fixture.
- The power distribution system should be designed to perform safely and efficiently.

**Safe Construction**

No matter how well-drafted the specifications are, the finished system will only be as good as the materials and methods used in construction. Some criteria to consider in installation of a safe sports turf lighting electrical system are:

- Use an experienced electrical contractor installation.
- Inspect the installation for compliance with specifications and code requirements.

Whether field lighting is for major stadium or a smaller, more intimate venue, the goal of providing adequate illumination safely is paramount. Photos courtesy: General Electric Lighting Systems, Hendersonville, NC.
• Obtain as-built drawings for future reference.

**Maintenance For Safety**

Regular maintenance keeps the outdoor sports field lighting system operating safely, effectively and efficiently year after year. Schedule routine maintenance of all lighting system components — electrical, fixtures, and structural for each lighted field. Also, schedule regular diagnostic tests to identify problems that are not easily visible. When repairs are required, hire a licensed electrician.

Set up the following basic maintenance practices:

• Establish a written schedule for maintenance of the system.
• Use a qualified electrician for testing and repairs.
• Although only a professional electrician licensed to work on high-voltage systems should make repairs to a sports field lighting system, some maintenance tests can be performed by in-house staff. It is essential that the sports lighting system receive visual inspection before the lights are turned on.

A manual is available to provide guidance for those with the ultimate responsibility of selection, installation, and maintenance of sports field lighting. Titled, "Sports Field Lighting Electrical Systems Guidelines and Safety Considerations," the manual provides, in layman's terms, design guidelines and maintenance practices recommended for a safe lighting installation. The Parks and Natural Resource Management Section of the Illinois Parks and Recreation Association acknowledges Musco Sports-Lighting, Inc., for the technical support that made this manual possible. Also key to development of the manual are chief editor John M. Vann, superintendent of parks and planning, Westmont Park District, Westmont, IL, and contributing editors Joe Crookham, Jeff Rogers, Mark Flesner, Bonnie Bailey, Jeanie Bieri, and Sheila Davis-Walker. For more information on this manual, write: IPRA c/o Parks and Natural Resources Management Section, IN 141 County Farm Road, Suite 100, Winfield, IL 60190.

Whether you're planning a new lighting system or evaluating an existing one, a few simple precautions will ensure maximum safety for facility employees, players and spectators. •

---

**Attention: Turf Managers**

**THERE'S ONLY ONE REAL HYDROSEEDER.**

Let us point out just a few of the differences...

- Liquid recirculation
- Hydraulically driven agitator
- Finn's centrifugal pump
- Variable speed/reversible paddle agitation
- Low profile tank design
- Remote valve operation

Lead us point out just a few of the differences...

Call 1-800-543-7166 for a FREE Guide to HydroSeeding and Mulch Spreading.

9281 LeSaint Drive, Fairfield, OH 45014
Toll-free 1-800-543-7166 FAX: (513) 874-2914

Leading The Industry From The Beginning.

**FINN CORPORATION**

Circle 122 on Postage Free Card

---

**Editor's Note:** Mike Trigg is a parks supervisor for the Waukegan Park District, Waukegan, IL. He's a member of the national Sports Turf Managers Association and past president of the Midwest Chapter STMA.

Information presented in this article is reprinted with permission from the manual, "Sports-Field Lighting Electrical Systems Guidelines and Safety Considerations," ©1992, Park and Natural Management Section of the Illinois Park and Recreation Association and Musco Sports-Lighting, Inc., Oskaloosa, IA. The publication is available to STMA members, through STMA headquarters, for $7.95 plus $1.50 shipping and handling.

---

**The Real Deal:**

HydroSeeder starting at $194.00 per month.

Call about lease options.
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The Keys to Success With Sand-Based Turf Systems

By Charles R. Dixon

The development of the proper application of defined sand technology has resulted in a general acceptance of the substantial benefits of high-performance sand-based systems. Sand is used to modify soils and construct turf systems for various sports turf applications to promote proper air and water management. Without a doubt, sand is the most extensively used amendment, on a weight basis, for modifying turf systems.

The use of sand has been extensively reviewed by the United States Golf Association regarding putting-green construction. A wide range of sports turf applications such as football, baseball, race tracks, and soccer, utilize the concepts of USGA putting-green construction guidelines to design and build turf systems for high performance demands. Not all sports programs have the budget for sand-based athletic fields, but use sand in other ways to improve field performance.

Sand is often used in conjunction with the native soil in what is known as a bypass system, such as the Cambridge™ system, which uses sand in trenches and for creating a permeable sand cap over the trenches to remove water during periods of heavy rainfall. There are several designs that use a bypass approach with sand. At Turf Diagnostics & Design, we are often approached about mixing sand with native soils to improve permeability and to lower excess water-holding values.

The mixing of sand with soil is not as effective as one might think. If the proper sand particle size is used and the correct amount of sand is added, some benefit can be realized. However, the amount of sand necessary to increase the overall sand content of the root zone is so great that the money and energy are better spent on by-pass approaches or maintenance.

The amount of sand particles in relation to the size of the particle is critical to how a sand will function in various applications. Many sports turf managers are familiar with particle size analysis. It’s important to understand the definition of particle sizes and how they are determined.

There are three common definitions of sand size, as well as silt and clay, in this country. Regional regulations may add to the definition, but the basic definition sources for building and road construction are from the American Society for Testing and Materials. In agriculture, the United States Department of Agriculture has definitions on how soil is classified regarding crop production and soil conservation. In the March/April issue of the USGA Green Section Record, another sand size definition was published that pertains to the USGA putting green construction recommendations (USGA 1993).

Prior to the recent USGA definition, the USDA criteria and methodology had been used to evaluate materials for determining suitability in turf systems. The USGA and USDA definitions are quite similar in sand size criteria, as well as the silt and clay size range. The ASTM definition is very different, especially in defining silt and clay. Since clay and silt can have a profound impact on the drainage characteristics of a sand, the definition used is crucial to making a good decision.

If material selections are being made for turf systems, you definitely want an analysis that accurately assesses the sizes of materials and is also based on agronomic definitions such as the USGA or USDA size schemes. Since the new USGA recommendations and laboratory protocol were published, an adequate definition and test method available for most field designers and turf managers exist.

As we now have definition concerning the size range of various particles, the concentration of sizes can be examined in relation to the sand selection criteria.
The new USGA recommendations are a good start for systems that are designed like USGA putting greens. For use in selection of sands in a bypass system or topdressing of native fields, however, it may be too specific.

The particle ranges listed in Table 3 are designed for a specific purpose and are a good guide for putting greens. For use in sports field construction, additional parameters should be considered. We have found criteria published by Dr. George Blake to be helpful in adding to the USGA criteria or for offering a less stringent guide for sands to be used as topdressing for native fields, or to be used in by-pass systems.

Understanding Measures

The Fineness of Modulus (Fm) and Uniformity Coefficient (Cu), as seen in Table 4, are determined from a graph of the concentration of particles versus size. The grain size graph is a useful tool in comparing sands and also determining Fu and Cu. Grain size graphs are used to design and select materials for drain systems. Most sand suppliers who work with concrete or Department of Transportation specifications determine the Fm. We have found the Fm value to be useful in communicating the general class of sand we seek. The Cu of a sand, along with particle size analysis, has been the most useful information we have regarding performance estimates.

The Cu is a numeric estimate of how a sand is graded. The term graded relates to where the concentration of sand particles is located. A sand with all particles in two size ranges would be termed a narrowly graded sand and would have a low Cu value. The Cu is a dimensionless number; in other words, it has no units. Filtration sands for water treatment usually have a low Cu to promote water movement. Concrete sands usually have a high Cu to pack and offer strength and stability. For turf applications, the Cu values we are looking for range from 1.8 to 4.0.

Widely graded materials usually offer firm turf surfaces and will be less prone to developing divots and ruts. Soccer pitches are firmer with higher Cu materials. The goal is to balance physical stability with the desired drainage characteristics. The materials with higher Cu values also have a more tortuous path for water to move through and will have lower infiltration rates or permeability. Usually, the water retention is greater with sands that have a higher Cu.

We recently performed a study using a plastic fiber for Synthetic Industries Corporation. The goal of the study was to examine the effect of the fibers in three different sands representing three divergent Cu values. The idea was to promote good drainage as is found in low Cu sands and offer stability with the fiber. The grain size graph in Figure 1 shows the three very different curves and their Cu values. The particle size distribution data is also presented in Table 5. The Cu is calculated from the grain size graph by determining the diameter in millimeters at which 60 percent (D60) of the material passes through the sieve, and at which 10 percent (D10) of the material passes. Hence, Cu = D60/D10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Recommended Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fm</td>
<td>1.7 to 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&lt;3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&gt;3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&gt;60.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4 – Dr. G. Blake’s Selection Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Recommended Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fm</td>
<td>1.7 to 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&lt;3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&gt;3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&gt;60.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The new USGA recommendations are a good start for systems that are designed like USGA putting greens. For use in selection of sands in a bypass system or topdressing of native fields, however, it may be too specific.

The particle ranges listed in Table 3 are designed for a specific purpose and are a good guide for putting greens. For use in sports field construction, additional parameters should be considered. We have found criteria published by Dr. George Blake to be helpful in adding to the USGA criteria or for offering a less stringent guide for sands to be used as topdressing for native fields, or to be used in by-pass systems.

Understanding Measures

The Fineness of Modulus (Fm) and Uniformity Coefficient (Cu), as seen in Table 4, are determined from a graph of the concentration of particles versus size. The grain size graph is a useful tool in comparing sands and also determining Fu and Cu. Grain size graphs are used to design and select materials for drain systems. Most sand suppliers who work with concrete or Department of Transportation specifications determine the Fm. We have found the Fm value to be useful in communicating the general class of sand we seek. The Cu of a sand, along with particle size analysis, has been the most useful information we have regarding performance estimates.

The Cu is a numeric estimate of how a sand is graded. The term graded relates to where the concentration of sand particles is located. A sand with all particles in two size ranges would be termed a narrowly graded sand and would have a low Cu value. The Cu is a dimensionless number; in other words, it has no units. Filtration sands for water treatment usually have a low Cu to promote water movement. Concrete sands usually have a high Cu to pack and offer strength and stability. For turf applications, the Cu values we are looking for range from 1.8 to 4.0.

Widely graded materials usually offer firm turf surfaces and will be less prone to developing divots and ruts. Soccer pitches are firmer with higher Cu materials. The goal is to balance physical stability with the desired drainage characteristics. The materials with higher Cu values also have a more tortuous path for water to move through and will have lower infiltration rates or permeability. Usually, the water retention is greater with sands that have a higher Cu.

We recently performed a study using a plastic fiber for Synthetic Industries Corporation. The goal of the study was to examine the effect of the fibers in three different sands representing three divergent Cu values. The idea was to promote good drainage as is found in low Cu sands and offer stability with the fiber. The grain size graph in Figure 1 shows the three very different curves and their Cu values. The particle size distribution data is also presented in Table 5. The Cu is calculated from the grain size graph by determining the diameter in millimeters at which 60 percent (D60) of the material passes through the sieve, and at which 10 percent (D10) of the material passes. Hence, Cu = D60/D10.

Table 4 – Dr. G. Blake’s Selection Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Recommended Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fm</td>
<td>1.7 to 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&lt;3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&gt;3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>&gt;60.0%</td>
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No doubt, this information requires some thought to fully understand. It may not be as important to know how to determine the values, as it is to know the process and impact of the numbers generated. Because of the value of Cu in making material selection in relation to a specific application, we have routinely generated the Cu data on particle size determination for sand.

The performance data for each sand was generated in the lab using the USGA protocol. The sands and a sand/peat mix were evaluated. The 90:10 sand/peat mix (90 percent sand to 10 percent peat volume basis) was also mixed with the Synthetic Industries fibers and physically evaluated. Table 6 presents the data from the physical evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6 – Physical Performance Data for the Various Cu Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFILTRATION RATE (IN/HR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULK DENSITY (g/cc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PORE SPACE (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPILLARY PORE SPACE (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATURATION (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of the Cu has an obvious impact on the infiltration rate, as well as the bulk density. Sand-based sports fields need higher Cu values to have firmer surfaces (higher bulk density). The infiltration rate is dramatically impacted by the Cu. Sands that are intended for use in French drains and water-by-pass systems will need lower Cu values than sands to be used as the complete growing medium and surface. Placing a layer of sand over sloped native soils has been used to allow a faster rate of water movement to catch basins. Sands that depend on lateral movement should have lower Cu values to promote drainage.

Sands have different physical attributes and should be evaluated in the lab for suitability for their intended application. The Cu is one component that should be determined, as well as basic physical performance criteria. Most lab-generated data, if properly interpreted, supports an assessment to attain the anticipated performance expectation.

Evaluations we have performed concerning the additions of soil to sand increased the Cu of a root zone well above the 4.0 level. Often, these mixes have been reported to us as poorly drained, hard, and difficult to maintain turf cover. We have often seen implemented field designs that placed a sand/soil mix with low permeability and compaction problems over an extensive and expensive drainage system. The drainage system was rendered useless by the compacted, impermeable root zone above it. Every evaluation we have performed on these types of fields had Cu values well above 30. One facility spent $100,000 for a field with full under drains, but the field itself is hard and has no drainage. The money would have been better spent to make a sand cap and cut drains into the field at intervals based on cost.

How much you spend is not terribly important; rather, it is how you spend what is available. Whether you manage a small baseball complex for Tee Ball or care for professional athletic fields, liability issues are a factor to consider. To best utilize your financial resources and achieve your objectives, you need technical information that accurately assesses the performance of the field design. The technical information is also vital in developing a turf management program for the completed turf system.

The single most important tool available to a turf manager is a properly performed particle size analysis, because it is the foundation on which we build the technical platform for the assessment of turf systems. The price of the particle size analysis data is irrelevant given the interpretive ability of the data for the construction of agronomically sound turf systems. However, the data must be generated using accepted testing protocols that are relevant to supporting agronomic decisions. Otherwise, the testing is worthless.

Table 5 – Sand Particle Size Distribution for Synthetic Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle Size Distribution</th>
<th>Gravel</th>
<th>Very Course</th>
<th>Coarse</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Fine</th>
<th>Very Fine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USDA (mm)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Sieve (mesh)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMPLE ID</td>
<td></td>
<td>% MATERIAL RETAINED ON SIEVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu = 1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu = 2.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu = 4.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Editor's Note: Charles Dixon is president of technical operations for Turf Diagnostics & Design, Inc., in Olathe, KS. A member of the national Sports Turf Managers Association, Turf Diagnostics & Design is an agronomic consultant to leading sports complex architects and a recommended consultant by the National Football League, National Association of Professional Baseball Leagues, and the PGA Tour.