SportsTurf: Say I’m a turf manager responsible for improving the irrigation system for landscaped areas at a campus, in a park or around sports facilities. How should I evaluate what I have and find out what I need?

Laiche: This is a broad based question, but in most cases evaluating and possibly upgrading both the delivery method (sprinkler heads and nozzles) and the control system will provide the best results. Most people believe simply upgrading a control system will make their plant material look better while too low creates dry areas around sprinkler heads (doughnuts)

   • Properly adjusted sprinkler heads, coverage area is correct

   • Areas with low head drainage

   • Zone audit. This measures the DU (distribution uniformity) of sprinkler heads in an area. It is essentially a measurement of the lightest watered areas to the heaviest. Many irrigation professionals provide this as a service.

   • Measurement of PR (precipitation rate). This indicates how fast sprinkler heads apply water to an area in Inches per hour. This information is necessary if converting to an ET or weather-based control system.

   Once you have the field sprinklers analyzed and perhaps modified, then you look at the control system. Most irrigation managers can only modify their irrigation controller programs a few times per year. With a central control system they essentially change daily with changing weather conditions. Most systems tie directly into an onsite weather station or an internet-based ET (evapotranspiration). This value is used to adjust sprinkler runtimes up or down depending on local conditions. Hundreds of controllers are shutdown automatically for rain and other site conditions.

   Things to identify on your control system:

   • How often can you make changes to the programs?

   • How long does it take to shut down for a rain event, do all controllers shut down?

   • Do runtimes meet both the local weather conditions for time of year and the soil infiltration rates?

   • Is the system running at times it should not?

   • Can I manage a large water source that supplies irrigation to multiple controllers?

   • Do I have hand-held control on every controller?

   • Do you need water use records from irrigation?

   • Do you often have breaks in the system that go undetected?

ST: How do you determine costs of putting in sprinkler system vs. using a system that involves manpower and moving hoses around?

Laiche: With manual irrigation you have to consider the hourly cost of labor, transportation expense and wasted water. This can add up quickly and results in very inefficient irrigation practices. Compared to manual irrigation, you can save 30 to 40% of your water cost and 90% of your labor by automating the irrigation.

ST: What’s the newest in water-saving technology in this product category?

Laiche: High efficiency spray nozzles improve uniformity while reducing water by up to 30%. Rotating spray nozzles distribute water up to 26 feet from a spray head body. Weather-based stand-alone controllers adjust the runtime based on both local and historical temperatures and solar radiation.

Central control systems run large groups of controllers from a single location. You can adjust with local weather station, plus monitor and record flow. Soil moisture sensing reads soil moisture, temperature and salinity and reports back to a computer. Adjustments can be made to the irrigation system based on real-time soil conditions.

Using smart water technologies

Editor’s note: Troy Leezy, marketing manager for Hunter Industries, and a certified irrigation designer, water auditor and water conservation manager, wrote this update

With increasing focus on water conservation and efficient irrigation practices come new opportunities. Whether it is a product upgrade or replacing an aging irrigation system, a wide variety of efficient product solutions exist in the